Problem Set #2

(due 11/26/13)

- 1. Consider an economy in which there are two commodities, musical composition (*X*) and home construction (*Y*). Each is produced competitively using a single factor, labor, which is in fixed supply. Producers of *X* face the production function $X = (\alpha e^{-\beta Y})L_x$, and producers of *Y* face the production function $Y = \gamma L_y$, where $L = L_x + L_y$ is total labor supply. That is, each producer perceives constant returns to scale with respect to labor input, but the productivity of labor in sector *X* is reduced via a negative production externality by the aggregate level of production of *Y*.
 - A. Derive the economy's production possibilities frontier as an expression for X in terms of Y, and show that the production set is not convex.
 - B. Letting labor be numeraire, derive the cost functions for producers of each good, c(X;Y) and c(Y), assuming that producers of *X* take *Y* as given and that producers of *Y* ignore their impact on sector *X*. Solve for the competitive prices at given values of *X* and *Y*.
 - C. Now, solve for the social cost function for X and Y, c(X,Y), and the marginal social costs of X and Y at given production levels. Derive expressions for Pigouvian taxes on producers of X and Y that would cause competitive prices to equal marginal social costs.
 - D. Suppose that consumers are identical, with preferences that satisfy the utility function U(X,Y) = X+Y. How much revenue does the Pigouvian tax raise at the social optimum?
- 2. Suppose that an economy has two goods, education and housing, and that every family has preferences over the two goods defined by the common utility function, $U(E, H) = E^{\alpha} H^{1-\alpha}$. Households differ only with respect to income level, with household *i*'s exogenous income equal to y^i . Housing is produced subject to constant unit cost $p_H = 1$, and may be purchased in any quantity. Education may be produced using one of two technologies: by the private sector as a regular private good with unit cost $p_E = 1$ per family, and by the public sector as a *pure public good* with unit cost *q* per unit of the common level of public education. Publicly provided education is financed by a proportional tax at rate τ on income, and no individual household may use public and private education at the same time.
 - A. For fixed values of the tax rate, τ , and the level of public education, *G*, show that there exists a critical level of income, \hat{y} , above which households choose private school, and below which households choose public school. Show that \hat{y} is increasing in *G*, given τ .
 - B. Start with your solution for \hat{y} as a function of *G* and τ from part A. Letting *Y* equal aggregate income in the economy, substitute for τ using the government's budget constraint that relates τ to *G* and *Y*. Calculate the full effect of *G* on \hat{y} , i.e., the effect taking into account the impact of *G* on τ . Show that this effect is larger than the partial effect you solved for in part A, and explain why.

- C. Show that, among individuals who choose public education, there single level of public education, say G^* , that is most preferred by all. If the existing level of public education is initially at G^* , under what condition would a majority of the overall population vote for a small decrease in spending on public education spending? (*Hint*: relate \hat{y} at G^* to the income of the median voter.)
- 3. Consider an individual who wishes to invest initial wealth, *W*, to maximize the utility of terminal wealth one period hence. The investor's problem consists of two decisions:
 - (1) how much of this wealth to place in bonds, which yield a certain return, i > 0, and how much to invest in stocks, which yield a stochastic return $r \in [0, R]$, $E(r) = \overline{r} > i$;
 - (2) how to allocate these assets between a taxable account and a tax-sheltered account.

Interest on bonds held in the taxable account (*TA*) is taxed at rate τ ($0 < \tau < 1$), while equity returns are taxed at rate $\lambda \tau$ ($0 < \lambda < 1$). Assets placed in the tax-sheltered account (*TSA*) are tax-exempt. An amount up to V < W may be placed in the tax-sheltered account.

- A. Derive the optimal portfolio, in terms of the amounts of debt and equity held in each account, for an individual who is risk neutral; perform the same exercise for an individual who is infinitely risk averse.
- B. Show that, regardless of an individual's degree of risk aversion, it will never be optimal for the individual to hold equity in the *TSA* and bonds in the *TA* at the same time. (Hint: starting with such an initial allocation, show that a portfolio shift would permit the investor to achieve higher aggregate after-tax earnings on debt for a given distribution of aggregate after-tax earnings on equity.)